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## Introduction

Rail Systems (light rail \& heavy rail) can provide

- A large transport capacity in a small of space
- With a good overall environmental footprint

A major concern is the cost of the infrastructure, they are often not economically viable.

BEMU - A Motivating Example

Consider the electrification of railways around the fictitious city of "Alt Waldberg", to illustrate common infrastructural, technological,
economical \& environmental trade-offs:

- Electrified main-line runs through city
- Non-electrified lines cover the region
- Those lines have little service (1 per hour)
- The shared city section has a lot of service

Possible Options for Alt Waldberg


|  | OPTION 1: ELECTRIFICATION | OPTION 2: DIESEL-ONLY TRAINS | OPTION 3: <br> EMU \& DMU TRAINS, WITH TRANSFER | OPTION 4: DUAL-MODE TRAINS | OPTION 5: BATTERY TRAIN, CHARGE AT NIGHT | OPTION 6: BEMU TRAIN, CHARGE VIA WIRE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$ Powered by wire $\qquad$ Powered by diesel .......-. Powered by battery |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noise and emission | ++ | - - (emissions/noise even under wire) | - (emissions and noise in diesel sections) | - (emissions and noise in diesel sections) | ++ | ++ |
| Infrastructure co | very high | low | low | low | Iow | low |
| Vehicle cost | low | low (4.5M€) | low-medium | high | very high | medium-high (6-7M€) |
| Operating cost | low | high | high for diesel sections | high | low-medium | low |
| Issues | -infrastructure = \$\$\$ -poor cost-benefit -issue: stacked freight | -diesels may be disallowed, e.g. city-tunnel -non-standardized fleet | -transfers are very unattractive -non-standardized fleet | -trains are complex, expensive \& heavy -non-standardized fleet | -big batteries are expensive \& heavy -increases infra cost | -few examples in operation |

"Bombardier Primove" on Talent 3


## BOMBARDIER TALENT 3

3rd gen. of the "Talent" regional train platform

- Maximum speeds: $160-200 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- Length: 3-12 cars per train



## "PRIMOVE SYSTEM" ON TALENT 3

"Primove" is Bombardier's vehicle battery system

- 4 Primove units on roof, $7.5 \mathrm{t}, 300 \mathrm{kWh}$ total - 440 kWh possible with additional units
- Range: 40 km , but up to 100 km is possible



## THE BEMU VERSION

The BEMU version of the Talent 3 is one possible configuration of the platform

- It grew from research funded by BMVI
- uses the "Bombardier Primove System",



## THE PILOT PROJECT

BMVI Research is built around a pilot project:

- It requires 40 km of range
- Up to $160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ under wire, $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ on battery
- DoD is $40 \%$ with $50 \%$ Catenary-free operation


## BEMU shows possibility reducing cost for overhead infrastructure. But What if We Remove the Rails?

ART - Autonomous-rail Rapid Transit


ART is a rail-less system for public transport that follows markings on the road by scanning them, developed by CRCC (China Railway Construction Corporation Ltd).

| SPECIFICATIONS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dimensions (m) | $\leftrightarrow 2.65 ~ \downarrow 3.431 .6$ |
| Energy per km | $4 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| Battery |  |
| Capacity | 170 kWh <br> (Li-Titanate Bat.) |
| Charging <br> Method | Pantograph <br> 30 s for $3-5 \mathrm{~km}$ <br> 10 mins for 25 km |
| Max. Speed | Up to 70km/hour |
| Life Cycle | 25 years |
| Turning Radius | 15 m minimum |
| Incline | Up to $13 \%$ |

## BENEFITS

- Low emission, construction and maintenance cost
- Short construction time
- Flexible operations in changing traffic conditions


## LIMITATIONS

- Cost concerns: road/rail CAPEX vs OPEX; lifetime of buses vs trams
How smart is it? Test drive was still manually driven.
Sharing Lanes: Should trams run on dedicated lanes?


## CONCLUSION

New technology can reduce infrastructure costs and may make trains more viable:

1) BEMUs like the Bombardier Talent 3 allow extending electrified rail systems into non-electrified territory - the technology is realistic, but the impact may not be very large
2) ART promises tram systems without overhead lines and without rails - the potential impact is high, but there's uncertainty whether the system can live up to its promises
